



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/648,279		08/27/2003	Izumi Takagi	2003_1211A	4440
513	7590	02/13/2006		EXAM	INER
	•	ND & PONACK, L	TORRES, MELANIE		
2033 K STREET N. W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1021				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3683	
				DATE MAILED: 02/13/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/648,279	TAKAGI, IZUMI	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Melanie Torres	3683	
The MAILING DATE of this communi Period for Reply	cation appears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MADE THE PROPERTY OF THE MADE THE PROPERTY OF THE MADE THE PROPERTY OF THE MADE THE THE MADE TH	AILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNION of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a runication. State of the state	CATION.  reply be timely filed  ITHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed     This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2     Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice.	b) This action is non-final.  for allowance except for formal matt	• •	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-5,7 and 8 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are 5) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,3,4 and 8 is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 2 and 5 is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 7 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restrict	e withdrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are:  Applicant may not request that any objective Replacement drawing sheet(s) including 11) The oath or declaration is objected to	a) accepted or b) objected to tion to the drawing(s) be held in abeyar the correction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
2. Certified copies of the priority of	documents have been received. documents have been received in A of the priority documents have been nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)	<b></b>		
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT 3)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>1/25/05</u>.</li> </ol>	rO-948) Paper No(s	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. There is no support for the claim that the "outlet part is lower than the air discharging hole." Applicant's Figure 2, illustrates wherein the outlet part (17) is approximately equal to or higher than the discharging hole (87).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Chamberlain (198) or Alderman et al. (016).

Application/Control Number: 10/648,279 Page 3

Art Unit: 3683

Chamberlain and Altermain et al. teach all the claimed limitations including wherein the housing for the wet braking device has a tilted surface. In Alderman et al. see surface adjacent element 10. In Chamberlain see end of housing 34A.

### Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. Claims 1, 3, 4, 8 are allowed.
- 5. Claim 7 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed November 17, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Re claim 5, at least a portion of the casing is mounted in front of at least a portion of the final reduction gear in the direction of forward movement of the vehicle.

Applicant's arguments are more specific than the claim language. In Chamberlain, the front surface of the disc brake is interpreted as surface 34A as seen in Figure 3. This surface is clearly tilted with respect to the direction of width of the vehicle. Alderman has 2 housing surfaces that are tilted – one is adjacent numeral 10, and the second is adjacent numeral 76 in Figure 3. Applicant's claim wherein "a part of a casing which houses the wet multiple-disk braking device has a front surface that is tilted ..." is

Art Unit: 3683

considered extremely broad and not novel. Applicant's arguments with respect to the benefits of the tilted casing are more specific than the claim language.

#### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melanie Torres whose telephone number is (571)272-7127. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 6:30 AM - 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James McClellan can be reached on (571)272-6786. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/648,279 Page 5

Art Unit: 3683

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MT February 5, 2006

Melanie Torres

Primary Examiner

2-5-06